

**JEFFERSON COUNTY COMMISSIONER MEETING MINUTES
OCTOBER 16, 2017**

Those present are Commissioner Hancock, Commissioner Martinez, Probation Tammy Adkins, Park & Recreation Mickey Eames, Clerk Colleen Poole; Audrey Moon is clerk of the board. Pledge offered by Tammy Adkins. Prayer offered by Commissioner Hancock.

PROBATION – TAMMY ADKINS

• **WRAP AROUND CONTRACT**

[2:00:52 PM](#) Tammy has the wrap around contract they advertised and have hired for this contract position. Have a workman's comp waiver form signed with the contract. Weston has reviewed this. Tammy said this position has been open. The person they had did not work out. This will be Rebecca Chidester. This is a part-time contract position. Rebecca lives here in Rigby and works at Emerson in Idaho Falls. Has already had some great ideas. Would love to do this full-time but the county cannot sustain that at this time. This is \$42 an hour flat rate. This money comes from Department of Juvenile Corrections. For each juvenile in the programs they write a grant as part of the plan and this is where the funding comes. Commissioner Hancock asked if this individual helps with the grants. Tammy said she will. Tammy said they can bill up to \$55 an hour. Some run anywhere from \$35 to \$45 an hour. Keeping this down helps fund the program and allows incentives for families. Sometimes they need door knobs repaired as part of the program. These items are built into the program. Have started at \$42 so they can increase this at a later time. Commissioner Hancock asked if she has a ceiling amount. Rebecca said this is per kid so they cannot bill down any more than what has come from the DJC. Have a written thirty day notice to terminate if they lose funding.

[2:06:18 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to approve the wrap around contract between Jefferson County and Rebecca Chidester at a fixed rate of \$42 an hour. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

PARK & RECREATION – MICKEY EAMES

• **MAINTENANCE CONTRACT**

[2:08:22 PM](#) Mickey is here for the maintenance contract with the lake. Received this from Weston. Weston said any changes that they discussed last meeting he made and resent this to Mickey. Had went back and changed the dates and added the pro-rated fee. Weston goes over changes that were made. Mickey said she has the thirty day termination letter ready to send Bryan. Talked with Arbor Tech today and they are fast at getting things taken care of.

SOCIAL SERVICES – JACKIE MAUPIN

• **EXECUTIVE SESSION 31-874 & 74-206(D) – RECORDS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE**

[2:14:46 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to go into executive session 31-874 & 74-206 (D) – Records exempt from disclosure. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

Open session 2:24

[2:24:45 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to deny case #2018-02 for an incomplete application. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[2:25:10 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to approve findings and facts for case #2017-33. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

DEPUTY PROSECUTOR – WESTON DAVIS

• **LEGAL COUNSEL: EXECUTIVE SESSION IC 74-206 FOR PERSONNEL, HIRING CONSIDERATION, EMPLOYEE EVALUATION AND COMPLAINTS (1)(A) & (B), PENDING LITIGATION (1)(F), OR DELIBERATIONS ON LABOR NEGOTIATIONS OR PURCHASE OF PROPERTY (1)(C) – (AS NEEDED)**

[2:26:18 PM](#) Weston brings up that the maintenance contract for the lake was approved by the commissioners. Feels that the commissioners may be the one that needs to terminate the current contract. May consider making this as a motion and signing the termination. Commissioner Hancock mentions for the public's benefit they had put out for a new Park & Recreation maintenance individual and they are ready to terminate the current contract. Weston said this would give him thirty days. Weston said Mr. Briggs has thirty days so he should finish out this month. If they have Arbor Tech come in they may have to pay out twice because whatever is paid to them should also be paid to Mr. Briggs. Commissioner Hancock said they could be obligated to pay both individuals. Weston said this maintenance contract is set to take over once this is signed. Could amend the contract to say they will take over thirty days from when the contract is signed.

[2:30:44 PM](#) Recess

Open session 2:32

[2:32:01 PM](#) Weston said that the commissioners approved the contract with Bryan Briggs. So he will need to change the signature line and make a motion to terminate and give thirty days' notice. The contract that was just signed needs to be amended to give them an additional thirty days prior to starting. They do not want to have both contractors working. Weston said they will send out the notice of termination. Will email this and have the commissioners sign. Weston is drafting a clause now and they can have this signed and sent out today.

[2:35:01 PM](#) Weston said he is future proofing this agreement. Clause 13 at the very end of the contract to add in termination timing. In the event the former contractor is entitled to notice of termination this contract shall not be effective until such time as proper notice has expired.

Termination provision this can be terminated within thirty days' written notice. If this terminates after one year and they run into this again once this contract is signed the new contractor knows that the previous contractor will have thirty days to finish.

[2:37:42 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Hancock to terminate the existing contract with Bryan Briggs and Park & Recreation and notify him with a written thirty day time period. Second by Commissioner Martinez. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[2:38:15 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to amend the contract with Arbor Tech to add in the event the former contractor is entitled to notice of termination this contract shall not be effective until such time as proper notice has expired in clause 13. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[2:39:13 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Hancock to approve the amended contract with Arbor Tech and Jefferson County Park & Recreation for this to begin thirty days from the date of signature. Second by Commissioner Martinez. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[2:40:22 PM](#) Recess

Open session 2:41

[2:42:09 PM](#) Kristine provides some information for a tax deed sale. Had tentatively set October 23 as the sale of the Anna Moore property. The publication did not get put in the paper. Moved that responsibility to the Clerk's office and they have taken care of this. Publishing this twice. Will be out of the office Wednesday and is not sure when she will be back. Have set this sale for November 6. Wants to cover some bases since we have new Commission and Prosecutor. Have this one property which is unique. The board and prosecutor can make this decision amongst themselves. In the past the Prosecutor has been the person who has called the auction. Do not anticipate a lot of people bidding. When they sell this property Jefferson County is not responsible for clear title or land issues. This parcel was attached to another parcel in the past. The bank foreclosed on the home after Ms. Moore passed but did not have a complete legal description. They sold this through a Sheriff sale on the home. Visited with Planning & Zoning this is a landlocked piece of ground. Would have no building right unless the original piece obtained ownership. Dana Damico lives next door and Randall Belcher also has some interest. Have waterways and only have one road. It is a landlocked property and is not buildable. If someone is bidding they should do their homework. In her opinion this should be disclosed during the process.

[2:49:27 PM](#) Weston asked what they do for the presentation. Do they read the notice? Kristine said they can and any money over the minimum bid is turned over to the state. Feels that they could make a statement that this is landlocked even though the county does not take any responsibility. Weston does not want to inhibit a sale. Could read through the notice. Kristine said the county would not have any liability. Have sent out a courtesy letter to any touching landowners. Trying to clean up these property lines. Only need the minimum bid. Weston said this has to be paid in cash or wire transfer within the end of the day. Kristine said yes this has to be paid by the end of the day and it includes the taxes, interest and the publication cost for the auction. Commissioner Hancock said anything else would be sent to the state since there are no heirs. Kristine said they could write down how they want the deed to read with the Prosecutor and then have this recorded. Kristine just wanted to go through procedure in case she was not here.

[2:56:03 PM](#) Recess

Open session 3:04

[3:04:09 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Hancock to amend the agenda to recertify the levy rates due to an issue with the State Tax Commission. Second by Commissioner Martinez. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[3:05:31 PM](#) Colleen was notified there was an error on the value worksheet. The City of Roberts was the only one affected. This is now correct. This does not need to be resent to the state but felt more comfortable having these recertified with the change. Weston asked if this increased their amount. Look at the total bottom adjustment. Colleen said that because of the valuation being incorrect the levy rates were off. This was issued from the State Tax Commission.

[3:08:42 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to recertify the new levy rates with the only change being the City of Roberts. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.**

[3:09:35 PM](#) Recess

Open session 3:31

STEVE TAGGART – LARRY LYON VIA PHONE CONFERENCE

- **JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT – EASTERN IDAHO JUNIOR COLLEGE**

[3:30:58 PM](#) Commissioner Hancock introduces Steve Taggart. Call into Larry Lyon. Will allow Steve to make his presentation and then will allow Larry some time.

[3:33:26 PM](#) Steve appreciates the time. He is an attorney in Idaho Falls. Provides information to commissioners. **(Exhibit A)** Worked with the advocacy group to turn EITC into a community college. Were able to prevail and make EITC a Community College. Have state universities and also have community colleges. The statute was put in place in the 1930s. The idea was to put a college in local places that was able to participate in state programs but is locally controlled. Have North Idaho College in Coeur d'Alene with Kootenai County and Southern Idaho College that includes Twin Falls County and Jerome County. In 2007 added a third which is College of Western Idaho consists of Ada County and Canyon County. Most recently added College of Eastern Idaho. What does a community college do in Idaho? Well they have several different roles. Have board of directors these are trustees that include five members voted on based on population. Board determines the programs, the president and can shape what the programs are. The advantage is they can offer these classes at a lower cost. College of Eastern Idaho tuition rate is \$108 an hour for the technical programs and \$129 an hour for academic that have the ability to transfer. Can get programs that are customized. First thing that is rolling out is Cyber Security program. Idaho National Laboratory has set up a program and the legislature have added money for buildings for Cyber

Security. These are high paying jobs in the \$70,000 range. Need specialty training to keep the governmental infrastructure. Over at College of Southern Idaho they are known for ag oriented programs such as soil testing, machinery repairing to all kinds of irrigation. CWI over in Boise is heavily into the high tech areas. North Idaho College is on the technical side and specializes in aeronautics. Another piece is they can take the first two years of academic courses. The cost is a third of what it costs to go to ISU. If a student does this for two years it is a tremendous savings. Can offer cheaper courses and can get the technical training or the academic training they need. Community college is set up by a taxing district. That has to provide property tax support. Had a study panel and they have set this at approximately \$860 with Bonneville County in that taxing district. Would require \$15 per \$100,000 of value to pull this off. Aware that Bingham County is voting in November to come in the district.

[3:39:02 PM](#)

Advantages have the ability to pick the trustees. Right now they have five Bonneville County trustees and they will have to redistrict these out. State law does require if students from their county go to a community college that they have to subsidize their tuition. This is \$50 a credit or up to \$500 a semester with a total of \$3,000 lifetime limit per student. State provides liquor funds to help cover this. If this goes above these funds would have to raise the property taxes. College of Eastern Idaho topped 1,000 students for the first time. Should see 1,500 students by January. Going on that track hoping to have around 6,000 students for the region. If they have 100 students that get the maximum subsidy they would have \$100,000. Every 100 is approximately at \$100,000. If the county came into the district the total cap would be around \$200,000 and would get to participate with the trustees. This is a judgment call. Other colleges in the state have small districts and rely on the revenue from the surrounding out-of-district costs. This is a model they could pursue. College of Eastern Idaho feels it is better to join together and shape this as a regional center.

[3:42:32 PM](#)

Commissioner Hancock asked as to the other junior colleges what is their cost with taxes. Steve said this will be based off of where they are. Looking at CSI and NIC they both have built facilities so they have a higher levy. College of Eastern Idaho has a campus handed to them by the legislature. This can hold the studentbody they are looking at. This is why this is unique. The legislature also offered five million in funding. Similar to CWI has gone through since this is the most rapidly growing college. Tax levy rate is roughly the rate they are looking at here. Challenge with growing so rapidly that they wanted to bond to build the facilities. This is over in Ada and Canyon. Had asked for a bond to build facilities but this was turned down. In order to create the College of Eastern Idaho they had to get 1,000 signatures in Bonneville County. Petition had to be approved by the County Commissioners and State Board. To come into the district they only need one hundred signatures. Would be approved by the Board of Trustees and then to the state. To add the vote is a simple majority vote. They have not added districts anywhere else in the state. They are trying to have a regional facility.

[3:45:45 PM](#)

Colleen asked when this can be done even years later. Steve said it can this is somewhat of a political question. The college is being shaped now and depends who wants to be involved in this shaping process. Steve mentions at CSI have used this college for community facilities. Have event centers for rodeos and events. Have used funds to build buildings.

[3:47:02 PM](#)

Commissioner Hancock turns this over to Larry Lyon. Larry said that there is good news is if they like what Steve said about the advantages of a community college. The good news is they can already get these without obligating themselves and being in the tax district. Important to think while looking at the pros and cons. There are three community colleges in Idaho. Have two counties in their districts. All three have eight or nine counties in their service districts. If this is such a good deal why haven't more counties in existing districts joined these community colleges? Pulled some of the counties that were in CSI tax district. To figure this out take the taxable value of the county and multiply that by what the community college tax district is allowed to take by law which is \$125 per 100,000. Example Minidoka County for tuition reimbursement for all three colleges for 2017 paid \$235,550 for all three colleges. When looking at the property tax as a whole they would be paying \$1.6 million dollars. Blaine County had \$160,000 in tuition reimbursement. Their county valuation is \$9.3 billion which could obligated \$11.5 million for the tax district. Does not need to tell the commissioners that money is tight. All of the money is being pulled multiple directions. Community college is nice but there are infrastructure needs and other places for that money to go. To take what would amount to millions over time and add this to the tax base. Wants everyone to know that he did serve for City of Idaho Falls Council so does have some experience at the local level. Like CSI they have off campus centers and work with counties that are not in the taxing districts. Working on campus centers and can get full degree programs. Called the directors at these centers to see if they felt any disadvantage not being within the tax district. The three of the four he spoke with felt very served and taken care of. Also they can join into this at any time. Right now would be the worst time for Bingham County to join because the costs are only a promise. If they look at the costs of other community colleges. College of Southern Idaho has \$6 million in local tax revenue, College of Western Idaho has a \$7 million in local tax revenue and North Idaho College has around \$14 million in local tax revenue. Hard to believe that the College of Eastern Idaho will be under \$1 million. Knows that Steve mentioned that EITC had a campus. Checked to see if CSU had any bonds issued and they do not. With all of the buildings paid for it still costs millions to run these community colleges. Better off as a county to pay the tuition reimbursement because if they obligate as a part of the tax district they could be paying twenty times that. Steve did mention a seat at the table where the decisions are made. Being in Bonneville County politics he is aware of who has been appointed to the board. Can go and check to verify but it would have been hard to choose a more liberal group of people. Skeptical on how friendly they will be to the taxpayer. When he checked into how the board of trustees is appointed they divide the population into five equal zones. Three of the five zones are in the large county with only one in the small county and have one that is between the two zones. Permanently in the minority and will work the way politics work. Would suggest to wait until the \$5 million from the state is gone and see if the cost estimates come out to be true. Certainly would not make a move until 2018 possibly 2019 to see how this all goes. Commissioner Hancock said this was explained well and is well taken. Looking at the maximum tax could go up \$129 to 100,000. Larry mentions that on the panel report they have comparison with College of Western Idaho which is not apples to apples. Have College of Southern Idaho would be the closest comparison to our surrounding counties. The comparison does not add up. Thinks now is the time to be skeptical. Commissioner Hancock turns the time back to Mr. Taggart.

[4:01:30 PM](#)

Steve said will deal with the maximum issue this is the first point. The statute does cap this at \$125 per every 100,000 in value. Once this is set they can have a maximum increase of three percent. The board of trustees will set this in the springtime and is committed to the \$15. Can vote in May or November so would not be this year. Will know what the numbers will be by then. College of Southern Idaho the distinction why

this is so high. They build buildings. They spend approximately \$5 to \$6 million for buildings in cash. They build up piles of cash to build buildings and that is the exact plan. North Idaho College just built a \$40 million center in cash in Rathdrum in Northern Idaho. Built this outside of the taxing district to get generate funds to come back in from property taxes. This is the reason these are so high College of Eastern Idaho will not have to build anything. Will be upgrading some classrooms and labs. The apples to apples is none of the three because the level of turnkey campus. An important point looking at location from Bingham and Jefferson to Bonneville. Will be seeing a rise in this. Will see a good amount once CEI comes on will see the numbers dramatically increase. Next year may be worth a serious look because they will have some numbers. This is a choice to be made. Not advocating for anyone to join. Bingham County has looked at this and felt they wanted to join.

[4:05:25 PM](#)

Mr. Lyon would suggest that everything that has been said just make sure and verify that what they are saying is accurate. If the levy is different because of buildings all he can say is that they should verify this. Look on page twenty-six of the study panel what you see in the revenue at the bottom the revenue is \$862,000 for Bonneville property tax. Time and time again in the debates with Steve have been consistent that the only taxes are the bare minimum amount. Max amount is \$7.5 million but claims they will only take \$863,000. Table on page twenty-six is the budget for this college for the next six years. Finds it a little skeptical that they are running on this number and it doesn't change. When they are sticking with the \$15 as they know in tax budgeting the levy rate and mill rate is driven by the amount of money in the budget. To keep that promise of \$15 it would be tricky. Real promise was that they will only take \$863,000 total. Would wait and see if this promise holds true. Would validate and check on what they are both saying. Even if they did not take the maximum it could still be more than the tuition reimbursement. Would encourage calling other counties that have not joined because if it is such cost saving why have they not joined. Larry had sent an email last week. Commissioners did not get this. Larry will resend this. Thanks everyone for their time. Commissioner Hancock thanks Larry for his time and will get in contact with him if they have any questions. Asked about the study panel that Mr. Lyon was referring to. Steve said this was done with BYU – Idaho will email this to him. Feels good about the numbers based on what EITCs costs are. Board of trustees is committed to the numbers. Weston asked if Mr. Lyon should be called back if they continue discussing. Commissioner Hancock just wanted to ask Steve if they will need to increase buildings at the college for these students. Steve said that they have room to grow at the campus.

HR – REBECCA SQUIRES

- **REVIEW JOB DESCRIPTION FOR PLANNING, ZONING & BUILDING ADMINISTRATOR**

[4:17:09 PM](#)

Rebecca has two tasks when there is a vacancy that is the time to review the job description and make sure this matches what needs to be performed. This was recently changed to a grade eleven this will be the same set of responsibilities. This is where department heads are at. This is a forty hour a week position and is exempt. It is not an hourly position it is a salary position with no comp or overtime. Paying for the job not the hours. Ask if there are any changes. Commissioner Hancock asked for some time to review this. Can put this on the next agenda. Commissioner Martinez said then they could make sure this is right. Rebecca mentions that she was not a judge on what this job entails. Did work with the previous administrator. As far as the minimum qualifications they are not meeting these with the applicants they have received. Will not be able to hire with these minimum qualifications. Will put this on before they do interviews.

- **EXECUTIVE SESSION 74-206 (A) – CANDIDATE SCREENING FOR P & Z ADMINISTRATOR**

[4:21:41 PM](#)

Motion by Commissioner Martinez to go into executive session 74-206 (A) – Candidate screening for P & Z Administrator. Second by Commissioner Hancock. Roll call taken. Commissioner Hancock – aye, Commissioner Martinez – aye. Motion passed.

Open session 4:40

[4:41:06 PM](#)

Rebecca brings up on the job description a lot of individuals looked at Planning & Zoning for ordinances. This is not a function of the Planning & Zoning department. This would be up to the board to decide where this will fall. Are they going to hold tight and have Planning & Zoning only in charge of their own ordinances. Or will they make this person the keeper of all of the ordinances for the county. Commissioner Hancock thinks they need to think this over and discuss this as well as getting Chairman Farnsworth's thoughts.

COMMISSIONERS

- **NEW BUILDING UPDATE**

[4:43:34 PM](#)

Colleen said that Rebecca had received an email from Scott Nielson on Friday, October 13, 2017. Colleen reads letter to Rebecca from Scott Nielson. "I know that the commissioners are meeting on Monday the 16th. I will be out of town and unable to attend but I'm wondering if you can give them the following updates. Contracts earlier today I received an email from Weston Davis saying that he has completed his review of the contracts and has submitted his comments to the commissioners. At this point, I am not aware of what the comments were. He asked if he could see copies of some of the forms that would be utilized on the project that are referenced in the contract. Those were: Notice to Proceed (I presume this is a form you have meaning NBW), Schedule of Values, AIA Documents A-201-2007, Supplementary conditions, Addenda One and Addenda two. Our office is in the process of gathering those forms to get to him. Bonds and insurances I spoke with DL Beck today and ask about the schedule for receiving these items. They said they expect to have them this coming Monday. I would imagine that those documents would require legal review as well. Value engineering items we are still working through that process in terms of identifying potential savings on the HVAC system and controls. We will have more to report in the next couple of weeks. It is progressing but needs more refinement before we present firm information to the Commissioners. I appreciate your help in conveying this information. If there is anything that comes out of their discussion on Monday that I need to be aware of would you mind passing back to me." Weston said that he had emailed his comments. Commissioner Hancock asked about these comments he never saw an email. What they submitted was with places to insert items where they still need to fill in and pull out the italics. Provision about liquidated damages this would be \$1,000 day not sure if this is enough. Commissioner Hancock comments that this was put into the original bid document. Weston said that is good then he just wanted to make sure they were aware. The contract amount was

\$4,015,500 was making sure this was an amount by just verifying. Commissioner Hancock said if they have any changes such as selecting a different flooring then they would have to change this as an addendum. If they change the controls for the building and get a reduction they will do this based on the change of scope. Weston said he was going through this as he read and section talking about payment of the like wanted to make sure they can hold their payment. May not be necessary but would need additional documentation. There was a mention of schedule of values and wanted to make sure they have all of the addendums. Wanted to make sure they collect everything before they sign. Have a five percent retainage. Will hold this as a security to verify the job is right this would be around \$200,000. Does not think that five percent is offensive. Commissioner Hancock thinks this was sent out in the bid documents. Weston said there is a provision under 6.1 discussing the initial decision maker. Has that the architect will be the initial decision maker unless there is anyone listed below. There is no one listed below wanted to verify that they trust the architects on this. If they want someone else they may need to designate. Commissioner Hancock thinks they already approved to have the architect as this person. Commissioner Hancock said that they had discussed to allow the architect to be a decision maker. Weston just had some references to documents he had not seen. Talks about Jefferson County and specified work to be done there is no reference to sprinkler repair and landscaping. If this was not in the original bid then that would be why this is not enclosed. Commissioner Hancock said this was not in the original bid. Commissioner Martinez asked on a dollar value on the decisions to be made. Commissioner Hancock said that they had brought this in and there were specific levels that would need to be brought into them. Weston will finish gathering the needed information.

• **ELECTION MEETING DATES**

[4:54:31 PM](#) Colleen brings this to the attention there are four times they could have an election. Now that the commissioners meet every Monday they have some election meetings in this room and set up equipment for election night. Have an election on November 7 and have a meeting on November 6. Will only have a few conflicts until the building is done. Wondering if they would have any issues with moving to a courtroom on these days.

• **DECISION ON MOVING TREES**

[4:56:13 PM](#) Colleen asked if Chairman Farnsworth had spoken with them on the trees. Commissioner Hancock does not have the exact dollar amount initially had said \$1,000 a tree and thought they dropped this to \$350 a tree. Still thought this was pricey. Commissioner Hancock said they could get a backhoe and dig these up. Commissioner Martinez said that they could go and get a backhoe and dig these out. Commissioner Hancock thinks they could rent a backhoe and get these all. May not be able to keep all the dirt all of the balls. Colleen thinks that they just wanted a decision. Commissioner Hancock said he would rather rent a backhoe or use the one from Road & Bridge. Thinks they could do this really easy. Thinks they could do this themselves. Need to do this. Colleen mentioned on moving the water line they may need to look at that. Commissioner Hancock said the city may have to move this. Depends where the meter box is. Colleen mentioned there needs to be some follow up on that. Commissioner Hancock asked if Commissioner Martinez will meet with the city and find out where the water line is. DL Beck can move this but would be a change order right off the bat. Commissioner Hancock will talk with Dave on the trees to see if Road & Bridge can move these.

[5:03:28 PM](#) **Motion by Commissioner Martinez to adjourn at 5:05. Second by Commissioner Hancock. All in favor – aye. Motion passed.**

Chairman of the Board

Date

Clerk of the Board

Date

County Clerk

Date